

### Amendments to the Specification

The Examiner stated that "there should be some type of --not applicable-- sentence under a Summary headline." Applicant reiterates that the language being quoted by the Examiner from the MPEP. The Examiner cited the paragraph from the MPEP, 608.01(a) that is merely intended as a guideline. As stated in the Foreword of the MPEP, *the MPEP does not have the force of law or the force of the Rules as set forth in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations*. Therefore, neither a summary nor the headings listed in the citation from the Examiner is required, but are merely preferences set forth by the USPTO. If the Examiner has intended to object to the specification because of the lack of a summary, Applicant respectfully requests that the objection be withdrawn. Otherwise, Applicants submit that the Examiner point to the relevant section from the 37 CFR, Title 35 USC, or case law stating that a heading with 'not applicable' is required by law. Applicant is happy to present the Examiner with an extensive list of issued patents and pending applications that DO NOT have a Summary heading with the words "not applicable" therein.